$(\underline{A\ California\ Not\text{-}For\text{-}Profit\ Corporation})$

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2010

Global Healing

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditor's report	Page 2
Financial statements: Statement of financial position – December 31, 2010	Exhibit A
Statement of activities for the year ended December 31, 2010	Exhibit B
Statement of functional expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010	Exhibit C
Statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2010	Exhibit D
Notes to financial statements – December 31, 2010	Exhibit E Pages 1 - 2

Independent Auditor's Report

The Board of Directors Global Healing

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial position of Global Healing (a California not-for-profit corporation) as of December 31, 2010, and the related statements of activities, functional expenses and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Organization's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Global Healing as of December 31, 2010 and the results of operation and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Certified Public Accountants

San Leandro, California October 23, 2011

EXHIBIT A

GLOBAL HEALING

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION - DECEMBER 31, 2010

ASSETS

CURRENT ASSETS: Cash Bank notes (Note 3 and 4) Equities (Note 3 and 4) Mutual Funds (Note 3 and 4) Interest receivable Prepaid expenses	\$ 659,121 2,541,712 802,844 664,364 12,519 1,000
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$4,681,560</u>
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	<u>S</u>
LIABILITIES: Accounts payable Grants payable TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 24,732 392,250 \$ 416,982
NET ASSETS: Unrestricted Temporarily restricted Permanently restricted	\$4,264,578 0 0
TOTAL NET ASSETS	<u>\$4,264,578</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	<u>\$4,681,560</u>

EXHIBIT B

GLOBAL HEALING

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

	Unrestricted	Temporarily <u>Restricted</u>	<u>Total</u>
PUBLIC SUPPORT AND REVENUE:			
Donations	\$ 75,459	\$ 0	\$ 74,459
Donated services (Note 2)	666,643	0	666,643
Donated goods	16,085	0	16,085
Interest and dividend income	125,151	0	125,151
Unrealized gains	152,760	0	152,760
Realized (losses)	(18,727)	0	(18,727)
TOTAL PUBLIC SUPPORT AND REVENUE	\$1,017,371	\$ 0	<u>\$1,017,371</u>
EXPENSES:			
Program services	\$1,697,253	\$ 0	\$1,697,253
Management and general	83,338	0	83,338
Fundraising	0	0	0
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$1,780.591	<u>\$ 0</u>	\$1,780,591
CHANGES IN NET ASSETS	(\$ 763,220)	\$ 0	(\$ 763,220)
NET ASSETS, January 1, 2010	5,027,798	0	5,027,798
NET ASSETS, December 31, 2010	<u>\$4,264,578</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$4,264,578</u>

See notes to financial statements.

STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL EXPENSES

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

	Program Services	Management and General	Fundraising	Total <u>Expenses</u>
Printing	\$ 4,540	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 4,540
Bank fees	0	210	0	210
Postage	7,447	0	0	7,447
Educational campaign	28,827	0	0	28,827
Grant expense	574,284	0	0	574,284
Medical equipment and supplies	147,490	0	0	147,490
Meals and entertainment	8,928	870	0	9,798
Translation services	3,234	0	0	3,234
Organizational development	0	7,098	0	7,098
Donated services (Note 2)	666,643	0	0	666,643
Supplies	1,568	0	0	1,568
Telephone	2,771	0	0	2,771
Office expense	2,771	11,444	0	11,444
Salaries	97,366	32,455	0	129,821
Payroll taxes	7,636	2,544	0	10,180
Payron taxes	7,030	2,344	U	10,180
Rent	9,658	2,810	0	12,468
Investment fees	0	18,874	0	18,874
Conferences	4,936	0	0	4,936
Medical evacuation insurance	2,605	0	0	2,605
Accounting	0	4,275	0	4,275
Travel	121,944	1,313	0	123,257
Insurance	7,126	0	0	7,126
Advertising	250	0	0	250
Miscellaneous	0	1,445	0	1,445
Miscenaneous	0	1,773	0	1,773
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$1,697,253	\$83,338	<u>\$ 0</u>	\$1,780,591

See notes to financial statements.

EXHIBIT D

GLOBAL HEALING

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Change in net assets (Exhibit B) Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to cash used by operating activities:		(\$ 763,220)
Decrease in:		
Interest receivable	\$3,845	
Prepaid expenses	4,000	
Increase in:		
Accounts payable	23,841	
Grants payable	<u>392,250</u>	423,936
NET CASH USED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES		(\$ 339,284)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, January 1, 2010		5,007,325
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, December 31, 2010		<u>\$4,668,041</u>

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - DECEMBER 31, 2010

Note 1. NATURE OF ACTIVITIES:

Global Healing ("the Organization") is a nonprofit organization established to accomplish health care reform, through education, in areas of the world where modern health care is not available. This objective is achieved by working directly with local government officials, health care providers, educators, businesses, public institutions, and private citizens and putting processes in place that promote self-sufficiency.

Note 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Donated services:

The services of volunteers are an indispensable part of the Organization's program. A value for the services of specialized volunteers is included in the financial statements in agreement with guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Approximately 9,399 hours were donated by these volunteers during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Functional expenses:

Expenses that apply to more than one functional category have been allocated between program, management and general, and fund-raising based on the time spent on these functions by specific employees as estimated by management. The remaining costs are charged directly to the appropriate functional category.

Support, revenue and expenses:

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the standards set forth in Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 116, Accounting for Contributions Received and Contributions Made, and SFAS No. 117, Financial Statements for Not-for-Profit Organizations and the guidelines set forth in the industry audit and accounting guide "Not-For-Profit Organizations" issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Contributions of cash and other assets are classified as one of the following three categories: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets and permanently restricted net assets.

Taxation:

The Organization has been granted tax-exempt status under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and Section 23701(d) of the California Revenue and Taxation Code. Accordingly, no provision for income taxes is included in the financial statements.

.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - DECEMBER 31, 2010

Note 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED):

Basis of Accounting:

The financial statements of the Organization have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting and accordingly reflect all significant receivables, payables, and other liabilities.

Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosure. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and cash equivalents:

For financial statement purposes, the Organization considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity date of three months or less from date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Concentration of credit risk:

The Organization maintains its cash balances in two financial institutions. The balances are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250,000. At December 31, 2010, the Organization's uninsured cash balances totaled \$397,084.

Note 3. INVESTMENTS:

The cost and fair values of investments at December 31, 2010, are as follows:

	Fair Value	Cost
Bank notes	\$2,541,712	\$2,503,857
Equities Mutual funds	802,844 664,364	729,862 <u>697,774</u>
Totals	<u>\$4,008,920</u>	<u>\$3,931,493</u>

Note 4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

The Organizations investments are reported at fair value in the accompanying Statement of Financial Position. The methods used to measure fair value may produce an amount that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, although the Organization believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

The fair value measurements accounting literature establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. This hierarchy consists of three broad levels: Level 1 inputs consist of unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and have the highest priority, Level 2 inputs consist of observable inputs other than quoted prices for identical assets, and Level 3 inputs are unobservable and have the lowest priority. The Organization uses appropriate valuation techniques based on the available inputs to measure the fair value of its investments. When available, the Organization

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – DECEMBER 31, 2010

Note 4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (CONTINUED)

measures fair value using Level 1 inputs because they generally provide the most reliable evidence of fair value. Level 2 inputs were used only when Level 1 inputs were not available. All investments listed in Note 3 were valued using Level 1 inputs.